Challenges and Opportunities for Consensus Building for Sustainability in Korea

Dong-Young Kim

Assistant Professor KDI School of Public Policy and Management

ACR/EPP annual conference 2011, Portland, OR

Contexts

- Four major rivers restoration project (5-year Green New Deal policy since 2009 with 17.3 billion USD)
- Land reclamation projects
- Artificial lake and regional development
- Construction of golf courses
- Tidal power plant project
- Canal construction
- # High-voltage transmission tower construction
- 器 ...

General observation

- Lack of joint and neutralized forums
- Lots of strategic forums organized by specific stakeholding groups
- More power-based or rights-based approach
- Few joint fact-finding efforts

Challenges I

- Politicized battle on top of environmental conflict
 - *Failure of the Party A's project is Party B's political gain
 - *Involvement of high-level politicians (national and/or local)
 - *Lack of neutral convenors (assessors) or organizations who are powerful enough to persuade politicized stakeholders into neutral venue

Challenges II



- Powerful legacy of '(false) efficiency' in Korea
 - *No time to waste! + within my term
 - * Very hierarchical decision-making structure (Just do it!)
 - *No information sharing at earlier stage to avoid conflict (e.g., 57% of the conflicts of high-voltage transmision owers at construction phase (2006-2009))

Challenges III



- **Institutionalized power imbalance
 - *Existence of legislations or regulations, established for rapid development paradigm
 - Inappropriate compensation
 - Expropriation
 - No mandatory public hearing
 - *Perfunctory public notification
 - *(False) legitimacy to rely on such regulations

Challenges IV



- *Experts who have symbiotic relationships with their own stakeholding groups
- *Securing identity, Saving face, and maintaining long-term professional relationships are more important

Challenges V

- Ossification of 'institutionalized' procedure
 - * Tendency to believe that certain institutionalized procedure can be a silver bullet.
 - Mandatory conflict impact assessment
 - * Specify how many people can participate and how they should be selected
 - * Specify what kinds of compensation packages can be made
 - Specify the range of affected area (not based on scientific but based on political judgment)
 - * Specify the name of the committee for conflict resolution
 - * Reducing uncertainty and complexity? Or reducing flexibility and creativity?

Surprises (overcome challenges?)

- Successful multi-party conflict resolution which was not assisted
 - *Shi-Hwa Lake Sustainability committee (2004-)
- New role for politicians as convenors rather than stakeholders
 - *Seoul National Mental Hospital renovation case (2009)
- Organizational-level, voluntary innovation for more participatory governance
 - * KEPCO high-voltage transmission tower siting committees (2008)

Thank you.